My First Forty Years (1974-2013)

Phil Roe

In 1978, nothing worked!



The most advanced Euler code available to designers in 1974

Was a second-order finite-volume code based on MacCormack's method

Whereas now.....

It is a second-order finite-volume code not based on MacCormack's method!

Practical Advances since 1974

•Unstructured grids

•Adaptive grids

•Fast Solvers

•Flux Functions and Riemann Solvers

In 2013, almost everything works ...

• The greatest single advance has been the adoption of nonlinear limiting in some form

• But nothing works particularly well. There is no universally preferred method.

Why not ???

Is CFD algorithm design a problem having many solutions, all of them having roughly equal merit?

OR

Is there some yet-to-be discovered magic method that will make all others obsolete? One that is high-order, robust and inexpensive?

What is a high-order scheme?

- To an engineer, A scheme for which the error remains acceptable as the mesh gets coarser

The order of difficulty p, of a scientific problem

Is the smallest number of good ideas required to resolve it. (It is the height at which the fruit hangs!)

High-order methods are high-order in more than one sense.

Why is CFD difficult?

- Actually, why is fluid dynamics difficult ?
- At a small scale, fluid dynamics is rather easy
- At a large scale, fluids are very lightly constrained, and behavior can become arbitrarily complex
- The difficulty is to bridge the scales

Doing it nature's way

- Model the PDES as simply as possible (e.g. Lax-Friedrichs)
- Wait for the complexity to emerge on a fine enough grid
- All the difficulty comes from trying to see the complexity on coarse grids
- That is, of necessity, a nonlinear business.

What Did We Learn from

the ICASE equation?

$\mathbf{u}_{t} + \mathbf{a}\mathbf{u}_{x} = \mathbf{0}$

- High order implies nonlinearity (Godunov 1957)
- Stability requires upwinding (Iserles, 1982)
- Odd order is better than even Order (Hedstrom 1974, Bouche et.al. 2003)

Iserles' theorem

(IMAJNA, 1984)

Consider a stencil having \boldsymbol{l} intervals to the left and $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ intervals to the right.



Odd and even profiles of a discrete step

Hedstrom (Math Comp, 1975), Bouche et al (App Math Lett, 2003)



On Simplicity

A theory should always be as simple as possible, but no simpler (Einstein) Seek simplicity, but never trust it (Eddington}

The ICASE equation says nothing about oblique waves, or about vorticity, or about preserving symmetry

The new ICASE equation ?

Yields information on all of these points

$$\partial_t p + \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0$$

 $\partial_t \mathbf{v} + \operatorname{grad} p = 0$

For example, vorticity is preserved only if fluxes are evaluated at vertices (Morton and Roe, sisc, 2001; Mishra and Tadmor, 2011) prompting the question, what is a flux?

An example from front propagation



Varadarajan and Roe, AIAA Fluid dynamics 2011

What are the right questions ?

- New extrema have to be accepted, but when ?
- What would define an "optimum" low-order scheme?
- A Lax-Wendroff scheme has four free parameters; what are they good for?
- How do you avoid "mesh-imprinting"?

Revisiting Scheme V

- The fluxes of a finite-volume scheme can be regarded as independent unknowns.
- This doubles the resolvable frequencies, and raises the accuracy to third-order.



Comparison with a classical finite-volume scheme

Linearized Euler on an Unstructured Grid



Limiting in Time

We need to measure our confidence in a reconstruction by comparing it with alternatives.

- Usually, we do this by comparing with neighboring reconstructions (Slope-limiting, Weno)
- We can also compare with previous reconstructions in the same cell.



Higher and higher?

 Another fifth-order version of Scheme V comes from storing also the gradients at interfaces (3 dof/cell)



Results after each wave has propagated one thousand times its own length, defined by ten mesh intervals.

Today

Is the first day

of the next forty years